



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/676,260	10/02/2003	Hyung-seok Kang	912-41	3476
23117 7590 07/15/2008 NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203				
EXAMINER				
KISHORE, GOLLAMUDI S				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1612				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
07/15/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/676,260

Applicant(s)

KANG ET AL.

Examiner

Gollamudi S. Kishore, Ph.D

Art Unit

1612

Period for Reply -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 April 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 and 16-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 and 16-18 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/5508)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5-15-08
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment dated 4-22-08 is acknowledged.

Claims included in the prosecution are 1-14 and 16-18.

In view of the amendments, the 112 rejection is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-14 and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Touitou (5,716,638) by itself or in combination Cauwenbergh (5,476,853).

Touitou discloses a method of preparation of liposomes containing lipophilic active agents, which includes terpenes. The method involves adding the lipophilic drug and Phospholipon in ethanol-propylene glycol either at room temperature or at 60 to 70 degrees, adding to distilled water and TEA (triethanolamine) and cooling the mixture (abstract; col. 1, lines 8-32; col. 1, line 66 through col. 3, line 10; col. 4, lines 14-50; columns 5 and 6 and claims). Instant method differs from Touitou in the following way. In instant method the terpenoid is dispersed in polyol (propylene glycol) at 60-70

degrees to which TEA is added and then phospholipid solution in ethanol is added. To this mixture, water is then added. In Touitou, the lipophilic drug, phospholipid are added together in ethanol-propylene glycol mixture to which the TEA and water is added. Since the function of the base is to elevate the pH of a dispersion to alkaline values and since the addition of water to the phospholipid in the organic solvent in both Touitou and instant method, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to vary the steps in the method of Touitou and still expect the formation of the liposomes. Touitou also differs from instant method in the last step; that is, the addition of the acid to change the alkaline pH of the liposomal suspension. However, since the preparations of Touitou are meant for the topical application of skin, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to change the alkaline pH resulting from the addition of TEA in Touitou to neutral or near neutral pH by the addition of an acid since these pH levels are compatible with skin. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to change the alkaline pH of Touitou to pH of 5 to 7.5 since the reference of Cauwenbergh while disclosing liposomal skin formulations such as toilet waters and skin milk teaches that the final pH of 5 to 7.5 is preferable and this pH can be obtained by the addition of either a base or an acid or buffer such as citric acid or phosphoric acid or acetate buffer (abstract; col. 3, lines 37-65; Examples 4 and 5). Although Touitou does not teach specifically triterpenoids and claimed triterpenoids, since he teaches generic 'terpenes', it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use any terpene including claimed triterpenes since these are also lipophilic with a reasonable expectation of success.

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered, but are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the object of the present invention is to provide liposomes containing triterpenoid at a high concentration while using non-toxic solvent without intensive mechanical treatment and In order to incorporate triterpenoid at a high concentration uniformly into a liposome, the present invention employs triterpenoid having acid group, and by adding a base, the triterpenoid is transformed into its salt having surface activity. The transformed triterpenoid salt is a surfactant of high HLB, and it forms a mixed micelle system when mixed with low HLB lipid. According to applicant, by adding an acid to decrease its pH to 5-8, the triterpenoids salt transforms back to the original form having an acid group, and thereby loses its surface activity and results in changing the mixed micelle system into a liposome. Applicant argues that Toutou merely disclose(s) the use of TEA in the example of a gel preparation, but it does not disclose the reason why TEA is added to the gel preparation. Applicant further argues that Toutou does not disclose the combined use of base and triterpenoid to convert the acid moiety into its salt having surface activity as to form mixed micelle system with low HLB lipid. According to applicant, Cauwenbergh merely discloses that the pH of the formulation can be regulated by the addition of a base, acid or buffer, it does not disclose or suggest the transformation of the triterpenoids having acid moiety into its salt and then back into its original form by subsequent use of base or acid.

These arguments are not persuasive. With regard to the initial alkaline pH values and decrease of those values to lower range, the examiner points out that the prior art of Toutou basically teaches initial addition of the base and changing the pH to appropriate

values since the application is topical would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to change the pH based on the teachings of the secondary reference. The motivation to change need not be the same as applicant's.

3. Claims 1-14 and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toutou (5,716,638) by itself or in combination Cauwenbergh (5,476,853) in further combination with Delrieu (5,962,015).

The teachings of Toutou and Cauwenbergh have been discussed above.

Delrieu while disclosing stabilized liposome formulations teaches that compounds such as triethanolamine, a common cosmetic buffer, can be added to phospholipid starting materials during the preparation of the liposomes to prevent aggregation and provide some stability (abstract, col. 2, lines 2-5). Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art to add TEA after instant step A with a reasonable expectation of success since Delrieu teaches that TEA can be added at any state of liposome preparation.

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered, but are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the use of TEA in Delrieu is to prevent aggregation of the liposomes, which is different from that of the present invention to encapsulate triterpenoid at high concentration. These arguments are not persuasive; as pointed out above, the motivation to add TEA need not be the same as applicant's.

4. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toutou (5,716,638) by itself or in combination Cauwenbergh (5,476,853) OR Toutou

Art Unit: 1612

(5,716,638) by itself or in combination Cauwenbergh (5,476,853) in further combination with Delrieu (5,962,015) as set forth above, further in view of WO 01/17523 of record or vice versa: that is, WO 01/17523 in view of Touitou by itself or in combination with either Cauwenbergh or Cauwenbergh and Delrieu.

WO teaches liposomal skin compositions containing triterpenoids, ursolic acid (page 1, lines 20-31). The method of preparation involves dissolving ursolic acid, phosphatidylcholine in ethanol and then adding this mixture to water (Example 1). WO however, does not teach the inclusion of propylene glycol or prepare the liposomes by the addition of TEA.

The use of ursolic acid as the terpene in the generic teachings of Touitou or in the teachings of Touitou, Cauwenbergh and Delrieu with a reasonable expectation of success since WO teaches that ursolic acid can be encapsulated in liposomes for skin treatment. Alternately, the use of the method of Touitou in WO would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art since according to Touitou the ethosomes prepared by the method taught are softer and have enhanced skin permeability for various compounds (col. 2, lines 3-24).

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered, but are not found to be persuasive. Applicant once again argues that the method involves converting the triterpenoid into a salt and converting back into the acid form. These arguments have been addressed above. In response to the examiner's position that applicant has not shown any unexpected results using the claimed method, applicant argues that the unexpected results may be regarded as lying in the 'payload' of the triterpenoids

Art Unit: 1612

achieved by the process of the present invention. This argument is not persuasive since it is unclear from the specification what the comparisons are made with and since no comparison is made with the method taught by the applied prior art.

5. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Touitou (5,716,638) by itself or in combination Cauwenbergh (5,476,853) OR Touitou (5,716,638) by itself or in combination Cauwenbergh (5,476,853) in further combination with Delrieu (5,962,015) OR Touitou, Cauwenbergh further in view of WO 01/17523 of record or vice versa: that is, WO 01/17523 in view of Touitou by itself or in combination with either Cauwenbergh or Cauwenbergh and Delrieu all as set forth above, further in view of Hayashi (US 4,606,911).

The teachings of Touitou, Cauwenbergh, Delrieu and WO have been discussed above. What is lacking in these references is the use of liposomal triterpenoids in tooth paste.

Hayashi teaches that triterpenoids are useful in the prevention of dental carries and suggests compositions in the form of tooth-paste (Examples and claims).

The use of the terpenoids in the form of tooth-pastes in the teachings of Touitou, Cauwenbergh, Delrieu and WO would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art with a reasonable expectation of success since Hayashi teaches the effectiveness of terpenoids in the prevention of dental carries.

1. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 1612

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gollamudi S. Kishore, Ph.D whose telephone number is (571) 272-0598. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 AM- 4 PM, alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Krass Frederick can be reached on (571) 272-0580. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Gollamudi S Kishore, Ph.D/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1612

GSK